

Date: Mon, 28 Feb 94 04:30:14 PST  
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: Bulk  
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V94 #88  
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest                      Mon, 28 Feb 94                      Volume 94 : Issue    88

Today's Topics:

Ed Ellers is trivial (3 msgs)  
    FCC regulations...  
    Help on 2 Meter Freqs.  
    Morse Whiners  
    On-line Repeater Directory  
The \*language\* requirement! (2 msgs)  
    To Morse or not to Morse

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

-----

Date: Sat, 26 Feb 94 14:21:30 EST  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!mystis!  
dan@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: Ed Ellers is trivial  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Ed Ellers <edellers@delphi.com> writes:

> A great x ray technician! <xraytech@sugar.NeoSoft.COM> writes:  
>  
> >You have earned an Extra class ticket, haven't you Ed? Or is that nasty,  
> >obsolete, unlearnable Morse code holding you back?  
>  
> Why would I \*want\* an Extra Class license? All it adds over Advanced is  
> CW-only spectrum; since I have no desire to use CW there's no need for it.  
>

> Why don't you try refuting facts with facts, if you are actually capable of  
> doing so, instead of being a smart a--?  
>  
> -- Ed Ellers, KD4AWQ

You might want to be a 'full' VE!

(You DID ask WHY!)

73 Ed,

Dan

--

Samuel Adams: "The Constitution shall never be construed to prevent people of  
the United States who are peaceable citizens from keeping their own arms."  
During Massachusetts' U.S. Constitution ratification convention, (1788)

-----

Date: Sat, 26 Feb 94 14:48:44 EST  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!gatech!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!mystis!  
dan@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: Ed Ellers is trivial  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

xraytech@sugar.NeoSoft.COM (A great x ray technician!) writes:

> In article <5w2ImpH.edellers@delphi.com>,  
> Ed Ellers <edellers@delphi.com> wrote:  
> >A great x ray technician! <xraytech@sugar.NeoSoft.COM> writes:  
> >  
> >>You have earned an Extra class ticket, haven't you Ed? Or is that nasty,  
> >>obsolete, unlearnable Morse code holding you back?  
> >  
> >Why would I \*want\* an Extra Class license? All it adds over Advanced is  
> >CW-only spectrum; since I have no desire to use CW there's no need for it.  
> >  
> >Why don't you try refuting facts with facts, if you are actually capable of  
> >doing so, instead of being a smart a--?  
>  
> So, are you saying that you are now an Advanced, or that you are a  
> Codeless Technician that hopes someday to be an Advanced, or are you  
> simply stating the fact that there's a small difference in operating  
> privileges between Extra and Advanced.  
>  
> All it adds over Advanced is CW-only spectrum? Ed, your ignorance is

> showing. The Extra also grants phone portions, reserved exclusively  
> for that class.  
>

It also adds full VE privileges, Robert. Or did you just MEMORIZE the  
answers to the extra written?

Dan

--  
Samuel Adams: "The Constitution shall never be construed to prevent people of  
the United States who are peaceable citizens from keeping their own arms."  
During Massachusetts' U.S. Constitution ratification convention, (1788)

-----  
Date: 27 Feb 1994 00:46:05 -0600  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!menudo.uh.edu!uuneo.NeoSoft.com!sugar.NeoSoft.COM!  
not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: Ed Ellers is trivial  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <X8DDic4w165w@mystis.wariat.org>,  
Dan Pickersgill <dan@mystis.wariat.org> wrote:  
>xraytech@sugar.NeoSoft.COM (A great x ray technician!) writes:  
>  
>> In article <5w2Imph.edellers@delphi.com>,  
>> Ed Ellers <edellers@delphi.com> wrote:  
>> >A great x ray technician! <xraytech@sugar.NeoSoft.COM> writes:  
>> >  
>> >>You have earned an Extra class ticket, haven't you Ed? Or is that nasty,  
>> >>obsolete, unlearnable Morse code holding you back?  
>> >  
>> >Why would I \*want\* an Extra Class license? All it adds over Advanced is  
>> >CW-only spectrum; since I have no desire to use CW there's no need for it.  
>> >  
>> >Why don't you try refuting facts with facts, if you are actually capable of  
>> >doing so, instead of being a smart a--?  
>>  
>> So, are you saying that you are now an Advanced, or that you are a  
>> Codeless Technician that hopes someday to be an Advanced, or are you  
>> simply stating the fact that there's a small difference in operating  
>> privileges between Extra and Advanced.  
>>  
>> All it adds over Advanced is CW-only spectrum? Ed, your ignorance is  
>> showing. The Extra also grants phone portions, reserved exclusively  
>> for that class.

>>

>

>It also adds full VE privileges, Robert. Or did you just MEMORIZE the  
>answers to the extra written?

And the ability to be the control operator of a space-based amateur  
station, Dan. Or did YOU just MEMORIZE the answers to the Extra written?  
Oh wait...you're not an Extra, are you? That nasty, obsolete, non-  
relevant Morse is keeping you back, isn't it?

--Robert/WA3J

--

Radiographers who are able to use a radiographic machine well are  
great assets to the health care facility in which they are employed.

--Dianne C. DeVos, "Basic Principles of Radiographic Exposure"

-----

Date: 26 Feb 1994 20:45:26 GMT  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!msuinfo!cravitma@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: FCC regulations...  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

On 26 Feb 1994 05:09:37 GMT, strange alien beings caused Hussein M Waljee  
(hmmwaljee@athena.mit.edu) to write:

> be a signal in the range of  $10^1$  kW. Which regulations apply? How do I find  
> out about them? What radio bands would/could I use? Any  
> idea as to the efficiency of such transmission?

Don't know about the bands/etc to use, but  $10^1$  kW sounds like an  
awful lot of power for this sort of thing. I think you could probably  
manage this with more like 25 W (with a reasonable antenna). 10 kW  
would, IMHO, be far more than is necessary.

/MC

-----

Date: Sun, 27 Feb 94 09:03:02 GMT  
From: mnemosyne.cs.du.edu!nyx!jjones@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: Help on 2 Meter Freqs.  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Would someone out there please pass on to me the limits of the 2 meter  
band plan? What I really want to know, Is what part of the band is

set aside for simplex operation. I am well aware of the CW & SSB parts of the band. I want to use simplex but fear choosing a random frequency as it may be a repeater input/output. Appreciate any help on this.

Jim Jones K5GSH  
jjones@nyx.cs.du.edu

-----  
Date: 26 Feb 1994 22:29:55 GMT  
From: paperboy.ids.net!anomaly!kd1hz@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: Morse Whiners  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Ed Ellers <edellers@delphi.com> writes:

> I have not "whined." I have presented FACTS about the lack of relevance of  
> Morse Code to operation in other modes, as has Dan.

And others have countered with similar arguments on the other end. Check.

> I've tried for twenty years, off and on, to memorize the Morse  
> character set. It just hasn't worked.

But Ed, if you were able to memorize the hundreds of questions necessary to get your technician license, how hard could 40-some itty-bitty code characters be?

MD

--  
-- Michael P. Deignan, KD1HZ -  
-- Internet: kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com - I never tell the truth, because I  
-- UUCP: ...!uunet!anomaly!kd1hz - I don't believe that there is such  
-- AT&TNet: 401-273-4669 - a thing...

-----  
Date: 26 Feb 1994 22:23:51 GMT  
From: paperboy.ids.net!anomaly!kd1hz@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: On-line Repeater Directory  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

jfh@netcom.com (Jack Hamilton) writes:

> The ARRL isn't a for-profit organization, and its purpose isn't to make  
> money. They provide many free services, such as the reciprocal operating  
> information, the file server, etc. They also distribute the net directory  
> in electronic form. There may be good reasons for keeping the repeater

> directory under their control, but "we have to make money off of it" isn't  
> one of them.

I don't know where you've been, but I hate to break it to you - the League is a business, interested in one thing - making money. Maybe not for the "League" as a whole, but take a look at the people who actually WORK for the League - examine your QSTs over the years and look at the names of the people who have made a career "working" for the League.

However, the League *IS* a business, whether you like it or not. Over the past decade, the league has taken positions on issues in Amateur Radio which suit the financial interests of the League, not the position which the members want (yes, there are examples where each has the same position.)

Anyone who doesn't think the League is a business ought to open their QSTs to the monthly 6+ page advertisement which contains a list of League publications, and the ARRL Video Classes, Tapes, etc., to remove all doubt.

MD

--  
-- Michael P. Deignan, KD1HZ -  
-- Internet: kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com - I never tell the truth, because I  
-- UUCP: ...!uunet!anomaly!kd1hz - I don't believe that there is such  
-- AT&TNet: 401-273-4669 - a thing...

-----  
Date: Sat, 26 Feb 94 14:40:07 EST  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!mystis!  
dan@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: The \*language\* requirement!  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

wolfman@p-cove.UUCP (Aaron Smith) writes:

> jangus@skyld.grendel.com (Jeffrey D. Angus) writes:  
>  
> > Ooh, this should just about shove ALL the Xenophobic amateurs right  
> > over the edge. Just think, almost EVERY immigrant would qualify for  
> > an instant license.  
>  
> Whats wrong with that? Don't forget they would have to know english  
> also. I think it's a good idea, but.. I really don't want to see code  
> taken totally away either. Illiminating it from the test would keep some

> people from ever learning it. Code does come in handy when there are some  
> people on the hf bands calling cq. Not knowing the code, you could miss  
> out on interesting conversation.. I personally believe that it's outdated  
> and there are better ways to communicate, but I also like the \*novelty\*  
> of code.  
>  
> see ya.  
>  
> 73 de Aaron  
> KB8PFZ

But, what if \_I\_ don't find it a \*novelty\*, \_I\_ find it obsolete. Why  
REQUIRE me to learn it. If it is so fun and usefull I will learn it.  
If it is not so usefull, let it die the death it deserves. But don't  
\_require\_ me to learn it.

Dan

(P.S. Watch, SOMEONE will missquote that or take it out of context, wanna  
bet?!)

--

Samuel Adams:"The Constitution shall never be construed to prevent people of  
the United States who are peaceable citizens from keeping their own arms."  
During Massachusetts' U.S. Constitution ratification convention, (1788)

-----

Date: Sun, 27 Feb 1994 06:38:06 GMT  
From: news.Hawaii.Edu!uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu!jherman@ames.arpa  
Subject: The \*language\* requirement!  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <kTDDic2w165w@mystis.wariat.org> dan@mystis.wariat.org (Dan  
Pickersgill) writes:

In regard to Morse Code:

>  
> it is so fun and useful I will learn it.  
>  
>Dan  
>

Well Gang, we finally won him over!

>(P.S. Watch, SOMEONE will misquote that or take it out of context, wanna

> bet?!)

No way. NO ONE on here does that. You should be ashamed of yourself for even thinking that. [hee hee]

I couldn't resist, Dan; 73,  
Jeff NH6IL

-----  
Date: Sat, 26 Feb 94 14:27:22 EST  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!mystis!  
dan@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: To Morse or not to Morse  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

patrick\_tatro@stortek.com (Patrick Tatro) writes:

> Ed  
> Why are you upset with a testing structure that has given you a license?  
> Morse Code is hard - no doubt about it. So is electronics and communications  
> theory. So are the rules of operation for amateur radio. We all can find test  
> area's that we must learn for the test and never use again.  
>  
> You have one thing in common with the Dan Pickersgill's of this hobby -  
> you have hit an obstacle you feel is insurmountable. I suggest you review you  
> Morse Code study habits. Have you devoted sufficient time to your study to  
> succeed.

Pat,

What makes you think I have a big problem learning morse code? I never said it is 'insurmountable'. Every week on the 2-Meter code practice I run I tell people it CAN be accomplished. You have never heard me say that it can't be done. Just that SOME people can't distinguish between the dits and dahs. I never said I couldn't. If you want to find out my position on Morse, read what \_I\_ post, not what Robert posts.

What I have said is that it is not RELEVANT. How did you get from there to 'insurmountable'? A leap of faith no doubt.

Dan

--

Samuel Adams:"The Constitution shall never be construed to prevent people of the United States who are peaceable citizens from keeping their own arms."



During Massachusetts' U.S. Constitution ratification convention, (1788)

-----

Date: 27 Feb 1994 00:42:12 -0600

From: sgiblab!swrinde!menudo.uh.edu!uuneo.NeoSoft.com!sugar.NeoSoft.COM!not-for-mail@ames.arpa

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <2k672t\$1op@vixen.cso.uiuc.edu>, <2k68im\$am6@sugar.NeoSoft.COM>, <2kb61a\$f7@vixen.cso.uiuc.edu>do.

Subject : Re: Dan Pickersgill - USENET POSTS

In article <2kb61a\$f7@vixen.cso.uiuc.edu>,

...green spleen... <trd54583@uxa.cso.uiuc.edu> wrote:

>xraytech@sugar.NeoSoft.COM (A great x ray technician!) writes:

>

>>Obviously, you've confused me with someone else. Only the lazy and

>>shiftless whine about how much of a raw deal they've been dealt.

>>Since I have earned my Extra class ticket, there's no need for

>>me to whine. Can Dan say the same?

>

>Your earning your Extra class has absolutely nothing to do with your whining

>in this group. I see you whining all the time about other posters and about

>other hams' habits that you find annoying. I geuss the definition of

>whining is in the eye of the beholder...

>

>Anyway, I've heard only informed and well thought out reasons why the code

>test should be reduced in importance or dropped altogether. Whining is

>something I have not heard.

Again, do these "informed and well thought out reasons" come from those who have already advanced through all Morse code elements, or from those who have Novice or Technician (or Codeless Technician) class licenses, who feel, either because they are not motivated (i.e. lazy) or are intellectually challenged (i.e. ignorant), that the current Morse requirements should be reduced or eliminated.

And in case the about flew right over your head, rest assured that it was informed and well thought-out.

--Robert

--

Radiographers who are able to use a radiographic machine well are great assets to the health care facility in which they are employed.

--Dianne C. DeVos, "Basic Principles of Radiographic Exposure"

-----  
Date: Sat, 26 Feb 1994 14:00:19 GMT  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!pacbell.com!att-out!walter!dancer.cc.bellcore.com!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <marcbgCLs9GF.GK9@netcom.com>, <2kld93\$ovk@dancer.cc.bellcore.com>,  
<2klrff\$c01@cville-srv.wam.umd.edu>  
Subject : Re: On-line Repeater Directory

In article <2klrff\$c01@cville-srv.wam.umd.edu>,  
Scott Richard Rosenfeld <ham@wam.umd.edu> wrote:  
>Just because there's an online repeater directory out there doesn't mean  
>that there's no market for the ARRL Repeater Directory. As stated prev-  
>iously, who really wants to carry around a bunch of 8-1/2 x 11 inch  
>sheets of paper, anyway?  
>  
>All of you people out there - have you seen that incredibly neat repeater  
>MAP book out there? It shows up at hamfests all the time, and MANY times  
>have I considered getting a copy, because it's a lot easier to look at  
>a map, compare it to your road map, and SEE what repeaters may be within  
>range. The ARRL seems to not be too worried about that one, and it  
>probably isn't cutting into the league's profits too much.

That "MAP Directory" is also advertised in the March Issue of CG magazine  
on page 70. The Map directory is advertised as being 175 pages,  
it includes location, highways, plus other helpful info.

Standard Disclaimer- Any opinions, etc. are mine and NOT my employer's.  
-----

Bill Sohl (K2UNK) BELLCORE (Bell Communications Research, Inc.)  
Morristown, NJ email via UUCP bcr!cc!whs70  
201-829-2879 Weekdays email via Internet whs70@cc.bellcore.com

-----  
End of Ham-Policy Digest V94 #88  
\*\*\*\*\*